



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/930,997	08/17/92	YALE	D 9208

ROBERT S. NISBETT
311 ANNIVERSARY DRIVE
LONGVIEW, TX 75604

31M1/0624

EXAMINER	
CULBRETH, E	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3106	9.10/10

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

06/24/93

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. Robert Nisbett, applicant's atty
(2) Eric Culbreth, examiner (4) _____

Date of interview 6/23/93

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 18-27

Identification of prior art discussed: None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claims

and specification were amended to obviate 35 USC
112 issues (see the attached examiner's amendment).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Eric Culbreth
Examiner's Signature